IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDIATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3309 OF 2004

Rahul Parshuram Madhav @ Munna .. Applicant.
Vs.
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent.

Mr.Vijay K.Shelar for the applicant.
Mr.R.Y.Mirza APP for the respondent.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 9TH AUGUST, 2004

P.C.:

. Heard Mr.Shelar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr.Mirza, learned A.P.P. for the respondent.

2. The applicant has approached this court for being released on bail in C.R.No.27/2004 registered at Parksite police station mainly under section 302 of Indian Penal Code, on parity with co-accused Vijay R.Bapat. He invited my attention to the order passed by this court dated 20.7.2004 in Criminal Application No.2818 of 2004 filed by Vijay Bapat and contended that the present applicant is entitled for bail on parity. He further contended that there was absolutely no difference between the role played by the applicant and Vijay Bapat and, therefore, the applicant is entitled for bail on parity. Mr.Mirza, learned A.P.P. did not

controvert the submissions of Mr.Shelar, learned counsel for the applicant. I have perused the order dated 20.7.2004 and other material placed before me. Keeping that in view I am satisfied that the applicant is entitled for bail on parity with Vijay Bapat. Hence the following order.

. The applicant is directed to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- with one or two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court subject to condition that he shall report the concerned police station on every Wednesday and Sunday between 6 am and 7 pm for a period of three months and thereafter on every Sunday between 6 pm and 7 pm until conclusion of his trial.

. The application is disposed of.

. While allowing this application, I shall not be understood to have expressed any opinion on merits of the case. The Sessions Court shall decide the case uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)